

Silas Grant, Jr.
Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, 5C09
2830 6<sup>th</sup> St., N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20017
silas grant@yahoo.com
(202) 498-4196

November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2008

Anthony Hood Chairperson, D.C. Zoning Commission 441 Fourth Street, N.W. Second Floor Washington, DC 20001

RE: EYA, LLC and the Missionary Society of Saint Paul the Apostle: Saint Paul's PUD Application Hearing (Z.C. Case 07-27)

Dear Chairperson Hood,

This letter is being submitted in response to the document submitted by the applicant on October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2008. Following an all-embracing review of all the documents submitted along with thoughts from the community, I've come to the conclusion that the community and the applicant are not at a point of agreement on pivotal pieces of this application. Many of the issues have already been stated in letters that I've submitted to the Zoning Commission. There is a deadlock between the applicant and the community on these issues.

On October 21<sup>st</sup>, ANC 5C decided against submitting another resolution to the Zoning Commission on the basis that the status of the items in question has not changed. Therefore, ANC 5C has not changed its stance on the PUD Application.

After reviewing the construction traffic plan, I have questions and concerns about some of the items included in the plan. I am concerned about the start time of the construction. Will the actual noise begin at 7:00am daily or is that the arrival time? On Saturdays, I have a strong concern about construction noise beginning as early as 7:00am. The second point in the construction traffic plan notes that there will be a local office for the applicant's community representative. Where will that office be and how accessible will it be for our citizens who would want to communicate with the representative about construction concerns? The fifth point in the construction traffice plan notes that all construction haul routes shall be approved by the District of Columbia. Which agency will take the lead on this matter? The fifth point also notes that there will be no queuing of trucks on the neighborhood streets. What are the plans in place to ensure that the truck drivers adhere to this rule? In the sixth point of the construction traffic plan, it states that all loose fill such as gravel or sand shall be covered in accordance with incustry standards. Are there standards in place based on District of Columbia law? And if so, I would suggest that D.C. law be implemented as the standard. These questions have to be answered in an effort to ensure citizen satisfaction during the time period of construction.

5008 NOA -3 by 5:58

OTO OFFICE OF ZONING RECEIVED

ZONING COMMISSION District of Columbia

SASE NO.

FXHIBIT NO.

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
CASE NO.07-27
EXHIBIT NO.57

With respect to the amenities package, we are still experiencing gridlock on some of the items within the package. The status of the three items (Shaed Elementary Improvements, Triangle Park Improvements and Streetscape Improvements) that were emphasized in my letter submitted on September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2008 has not changed. I have not received the cetail requested for those items. There have also been conversations about redistributing some of the funding within the amenities package to better serve the community. I've begun the conversation process with the applicant on this matter. The applicant has taken a great effort to hear the concerns from the community. However there is a great concern from the applicant that any alterations to the amenities package will have an adverse effect on the judgment that was rendered at the last hearing. It appears that the city's recommendations have been addressed. I am requesting that the Zoning Commission allow more time for negotiations to ensure that the community has their requests adequately addressed as well.

Sincerely,

Silas Grant, Jr., Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, 5C09